

A Generalized Fixed Point Theorems On Multi Valued Mappings In B-Metric Spaces

*¹ J. Beny , ²S. Jone Jayashree and ³P. Sagaya Leeli

*¹Assistant Professor, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Holy Cross College, Trichirappalli & India.

²Assistant Professor, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Holy Cross College, Trichirappalli & India.

³Assistant Professor, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Holy Cross College, Trichirappalli & India

Abstract

In this paper ,we prove a fixed point theorem and a common fixed point theorem for generalize multi valued mappings in complete b-metric spaces.

Keywords: Fixed point ,b metrics space, cauchy,contraction

Introduction and Preliminaries

Nonlinear analysis is one of the most important areas of mathematical research. The fixed point theory is a vital part of the study of physical sciences, computing sciences, and engineering. A famous fixed point theorem for contractive mapping in complete metric spaces was made by Stefanin 1922. Later, Czerwik (1993, 1998) has come up with b-metrics which generalized usual metric spaces. Alikhani et al. 2013; Boriceanu 2009; Kiziltunc and Mehmet 2013) have presented many results regarding generalized weak contractive multifunctions and b-metric spaces. The following definitions will be needed in the sequel:

Definition 1([8])

Let X and Y be nonempty sets. T is said to be multi-valued mapping from X to Y

if T is a function for X to the power set of Y. we denote a multivalued map

by:

$$T:X \rightarrow 2^Y$$

Definition 2([8])

A point of $x_0 \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of the multivalued mapping T if $x_0 \in Tx_0$.

Example 3([12]) Every single valued mapping can be viewed as a multi-valued mapping. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a single valued mapping. Define $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ by $Tx = \{f(x)\}$. Note that T is a multi-valued mapping iff for each $x \in X$, $Tx \subseteq Y$. Unless otherwise stated we always assume Tx is non-empty for each $x, y \in X$.

Definition 4([3])

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A map $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called contraction if there exists $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 5([8])

Let (X, d) be a metric space. We define the Hausdorff metric on $CB(X)$ induced by d . That is

$$H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A)\}$$

for all $A, B \in CB(X)$, where $CB(X)$ denotes the family of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of X and $d(x, B) = \inf\{d(x, b) : b \in B\}$, for all $x \in X$.

Definition 6([8])

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A map $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is said to be multi valued contraction if there exists $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ such that $H(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 7([8])

If $A, B \in CB(X)$ and $a \in A$, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $b \in B$ such that

$$d(a, b) \leq H(A, B) + \varepsilon.$$

Definition 8([2,7,10,11]) Let X be a nonempty set and let $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is called a b -metric provide that, for all $x, y, z \in X$,

1. $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
3. $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$.

A pair (X, d) is called a b -metric space.

Example 9([4])

The space $l_p (0 < p < 1)$, $l_p = \{(x_n: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p < \infty)\}$, together with the function

$$d: l_p \times l_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Example 10([4]) The space $L_p (0 < p < 1)$ for all real function $x(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $\int_0^1 |x(t)|^p dt < \infty$, is

b-metric space if we take $d(x, y) = (\int_0^1 |x(t) - y(t)|^p dt)^{\frac{1}{p}}$

Example 11([2])

Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $d(2, 0) = d(0, 2) = d(2, 4) = m \geq 2$, $d(0, 1) = d(1, 2) = d(0, 1) = d(2, 1) = d(2, 3) = d(3, 4) = 1$ and $d(0, 0) = d(1, 1) = d(2, 2) = 0$. Then $d(x, y) \leq \frac{m}{2} [d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. If $m > 2$, the ordinary triangle inequality does not hold.

Definition 12([4])

Let (X, d) be a b-metric space. Then a sequence (x_n) in X is called Cauchy sequence if and only if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $m, n \geq n(\varepsilon)$ we have $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$.

Definition 13([4]) Let be a (X, d) b-metric space. Then a sequence (x_n) in X is called convergent sequence if and only if there exists $x \in X$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n(\varepsilon)$ we have $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$.

In this case we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$

MAIN RESULTS:

Definition 14

Let (Z, d) be a b-metric space with constant $s \geq 1$. A map $T: Z \rightarrow CB(Z)$ is said to be multi valued generalized contraction if

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq s_1 d(x, Ty) + s_2 d(y, Tx) + s_3 \frac{d(x, Tx)}{1 + d(y, Tx)} + s_4 \frac{d(Tx, Ty)[1 + d(Tx, Ty)]}{1 + d(Ty, y)}$$

for all $x, y \in Z$, $s_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ with $2s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 < 1$. (1)

Theorem 15: Let (Z, d) be the complete b-metric space with constant $s \geq 1$. Let $T: Z \rightarrow CB(Z)$ be a multivalued generalized contraction mapping. Then T has a unique fixed points.

Proof:

$$\text{Let } \zeta_1 \in T\zeta_0, \zeta_2 \in T\zeta_1 \text{ such that } d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \leq H(T\zeta_0, T\zeta_1) + (s_1 + s_3)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} H(T\zeta_0, T\zeta_1) &\leq s_1 d(\zeta_0, T\zeta_1) + s_2 d(\zeta_1, T\zeta_0) + s_3 \frac{d(\zeta_0, T\zeta_0)}{1 + d(\zeta_1, T\zeta_0)} \\ &\quad + s_4 \frac{d(T\zeta_0, T\zeta_1)[1 + d(T\zeta_1, T\zeta_0)]}{1 + d(T\zeta_1, \zeta_1)} + (s_1 + s_3) \\ &\leq s_1 d(\zeta_0, \zeta_1) + s_3 \frac{d(\zeta_1, T\zeta_0)}{1 + d(\zeta_1, T\zeta_0)} + s_4 \frac{d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)[1 + d(\zeta_2, \zeta_1)]}{[1 + d(\zeta_2, \zeta_1)]} + (s_1 + s_3) \end{aligned}$$

$$d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \leq s_1 d(\zeta_0, \zeta_2) + s_3 d(\zeta_0, \zeta_1) + s_4 d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) + (s_1 + s_3)$$

$$d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \leq s_1 d(\zeta_0, \zeta_1) + s_1 d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) + s_3 d(\zeta_0, \zeta_1) + s_4 d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) + (s_1 + s_3)$$

$$d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) - s_1 d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) - s_4 d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \leq s_1 d(\zeta_0, \zeta_1) + s_3 d(\zeta_0, \zeta_1) + (s_1 + s_3)$$

$$(1 - s_1 - s_4) d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \leq s_1 d(\zeta_0, \zeta_1) + s_3 d(\zeta_0, \zeta_1) + (s_1 + s_3)$$

$$d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \leq \frac{(s_1 + s_3)}{1 - s_1 - s_4} d(\zeta_0, \zeta_1) + \frac{(s_1 + s_3)}{1 - s_1 - s_4}$$

By lemma 7, $\zeta_3 \in T\zeta_2$

$$d(\zeta_2, \zeta_3) \leq H(T\zeta_1, T\zeta_2) + \frac{(s_1 + s_3)^2}{1 - s_1 - s_4}$$

$$d(\zeta_2, \zeta_3) \leq H(T\zeta_1, T\zeta_2) + \frac{(s_1 + s_3)^2}{1 - s_1 - s_4}$$

$$\leq s_1 d(\zeta_1, \zeta_3) + s_2 d(\zeta_2, \zeta_2) + s_3 d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) + s_4 d(\zeta_2, \zeta_3) + (s_1 + s_3)^2$$

$$d(\zeta_2, \zeta_3) - s_4 d(\zeta_2, \zeta_3) \leq s_1 d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) + s_1 d(\zeta_2, \zeta_3) + s_2 d(\zeta_2, \zeta_2) + s_3 d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) + (s_1 + s_3)^2$$

$$d(\zeta_2, \zeta_3) - s_4 d(\zeta_2, \zeta_3) - s_1 d(\zeta_2, \zeta_3) \leq s_1 d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) + s_3 d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) + (s_1 + s_3)^2$$

$$(1 - s_1 - s_4) d(\zeta_1, \zeta_3) \leq (s_1 + s_3) d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) + (s_1 + s_3)^2$$

$$d(\zeta_2, \zeta_3) \leq \left(\frac{(s_1 + s_3)}{1 - s_1 - s_4} \right)^2 d(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) + 2 \left(\frac{(s_1 + s_3)}{1 - s_1 - s_4} \right)^2$$

As we proceed, the sequence $\{\zeta_n\}$ is derived by induction in such a way that

$\zeta_n \in T\zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n+1} \in T\zeta_n$ such that,

$$d(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}) \leq \frac{(s_1 + s_3)}{1 - s_1 - s_4} d(\zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n) + \left(\frac{(s_1 + s_3)}{1 - s_1 - s_4} \right)^n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Let $C = \frac{(s_1 + s_3)}{1 - s_1 - s_4}$, where C is constant

$$d(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}) \leq C d(\zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n) + C^n$$

$$\leq C[Cd(\zeta_{n-2}, \zeta_{n-1}) + C^{n-1}] + C^n$$

$$\leq C^2 d(\zeta_{n-2}, \zeta_{n-1}) + CC^{n-1} + C^n$$

....

....

....

$$d(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}) \leq C^n d(\zeta_0, \zeta_1) + n C^n$$

Since $C < 1$, $\sum C_n$ and $\sum n C_n$ have same radius of convergence. Then, $\{\zeta_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. But (Z, d) is a complete b-metric space, it follows that

$\{\zeta_n\}$ is convergent.

$$\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n$$

Now,

$$d(\alpha, T\alpha) \leq s d(\alpha, \zeta_{n+1}) + d(\zeta_{n+1}, T\alpha)$$

$$d(\alpha, T\alpha) \leq s d(\alpha, \zeta_{n+1}) + d(T\zeta_n, T\alpha)$$

using (1), we obtain

$$d(\alpha, T\alpha) \leq s[d(\alpha, \zeta_{n+1})] + s [s_1 d(\zeta_n, T\zeta_n) + s_2 d(\alpha, T\alpha) + s_3 d(\zeta_n, T\alpha) + s_4 d(\alpha, T\zeta_n) + s_5 d(\zeta_n, \alpha) + s_6 d(\zeta_n, \alpha)]$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$d(\alpha, T\alpha) \leq s [s_2 d(\alpha, T\alpha) + s_3 d(\alpha, T\alpha)]$$

$$(1 - (s_2 + s_3)) d(\alpha, T\alpha) \leq 0$$

The above inequality is true unless $d(\alpha, T\alpha) = 0$.

Thus, $T\alpha = \alpha$.

Now we show that α is the unique fixed point of T .

Assume that β is another fixed point of T .

Then we have $T\beta = \beta$ and

$$d(\alpha, \beta) = d(T\alpha, T\beta) \leq s d(\alpha, T\beta) + d(\beta, T\alpha)$$

we obtain,

$$d(\alpha, \beta) \leq 2s d(\alpha, \beta).$$

This implies that $\alpha = \beta$.

This completes the proof.

Conclusions: In this paper, we have defined some new contractive mappings in b-metric spaces. Furthermore, we proved the existence and uniqueness of fixed points results for multivalued mappings in b-metric spaces. And also generalize existing contraction condition in the current literature.

References:

- [1]Alikhani H, Gopal D, Miandaragh MA, Rezapour Sh, Shahzad N (2013) Some endpoint results for ϕ -generalized weakcontractive multifunctions. Sci World J 2013:7. Article ID 948472.
- [2]Aydi H et al (2012) A fixed point theorem for set-valued quasi-contractions in b-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl 2012:88. doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-88.
- [3]Banach S (1922) Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales. Fundam Math 3:133–181.
- [4]Boriceanu M (2009) Fixed point theory for multivalued generalized contraction on a set with two b-metrics. Stud Univ Babes-Bolyai Math LIV(3):1–14.
- [5]Czerwik S (1993) Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces. Acta Math Inform Univ Ostraviensis 1:5–11.
- [6]Czerwik S (1998) Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces. Atti Semin Math Fis Univ Modena 46(2):263–276.
- [7]J. Maria Joseph and et al. Fixed point theorems on multi valued mappings in b-metric spaces Article number: 217 (2016) .
- [8]Nadler SB (1969) Multi-valued contraction mappings. Pac J Math 30:475–48.
- [9]Bakhtin, I.A. The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces. Funct. Anal. 1989, 30, 26–37.
- [10]Czerwik, S. Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces. Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav. 1993, 30, 5–11.
- [11]Czerwik, S. Nonlinear set-valued contraction mapping in b-metric spaces. Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Dell'Universita Modena Reggio Emilia 1998, 46, 263–276.
- [12]Maria Joseph J, Ramganes E (2013) Fixed point theorem on multi-valued mappings. Int J Anal Appl 1(2):127–132.
- [13]Mehemet K, Kiziltunc H (2013) On some well known fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces. Turk J Anal Appl 1(1):13–16 .