

Exploring The Portrayal Of Female Trauma Pertaining Resistance In Mahasweta Devi's "Draupadi"

D. Sunitha Deva Kumari

M.A., M.Phil., (Ph.D)

Research Scholar, VISTAS, Pallavaram

Assistant Professor, Saveetha Engineering College.

sunithadevakumari@saveetha.ac.in

Dr. V. Jaisre

M.A., M.Phil., Ph.D

Associate Professor

School of Languages

VISTAS, Pallavaram

jaisre.sl@velsuniv.ac.in

Abstract

This article takes a gander at how Mahasweta Devi's brief story "Draupadi", portrays women commitment to progressive praxis. Is Devi romanticizing the female progressiveness on the imperatives of hostile to state activity? This inquiry prompts the accentuation of the story on patriotism as represented by the state. The nationalist crisis fortifies Mahasweta Devi's heroine protagonist in her work "Draupadi", aggravation permits her to rise above legend, to such an extent that "Draupadi," the legendary body, is recreated, bringing the feminine body and sexuality into play (as Dopdi) as the centre of obstruction. Mahasweta Devi's utilization of the Draupadi story as a model for the inferior female features hope issues, training how the legends (like the Sita fantasy) are supported while ambiguities inside others (like the Draupadi fantasy) minimize women. Her protagonist is taken among tales, and the best way to acquire the actual setting is through outrageous, "startling" measures, whether just anecdotal accounts.

Keywords: Trauma, Resistance, Sexual abuse, aggravation, obstruction

INTRODUCTION

"The awfulness of the double-dealing of landless labourers in India, especially West Bengal, is an imperishable one," Dhillon composes. Mahasweta Devi's "Draupadi" (1988) features the rank that empowered the central administration to accrue broad help from the working classes and the capitalists. The plot spins around Dopdi/many Draupadi's assaults, uncovering how political occasions sway "female" experience and shape the arrangement of female subjectivity. "Mahasweta performs the awkward truth: disguised gendering," Gayatri Spivak says (xxxvii). Her advantage in the states of the unfeasibility of inferior talk features the colonizing European subject's ability to quiet the imperfect. Mahasweta Devi's game plan stresses the Indian postcolonial subject – high and middle society, urbanized Aryan– acting in the neocolonial milieu, which quiets Adivasi or native people. (Williams 13)

Spivak's interpretation of Mahasweta Devi's "Draupadi" was picked since she cautions the peruser about interpretation challenges. Spivak signals the text's snapshots of emergency, just as the suppositions that support characterisation and phonetic eccentricities (186-187). Thus, it is necessary to check whether

women's commitment to progressive praxis is gainful, as portrayed in the short story. It prompts the story's accentuation on patriotism as epitomized by the state, exemplified by the hero Senanayak.

Mahasweta Devi's "Draupadi" is perhaps the most notable Indian epic champion. The story's title alludes to a scene in the epic "The Mahabharatha" wherein Arjuna, the third sibling of Pandavas, triumphs Draupadi as his gift by playing out extraordinary accomplishment marksmanship. Still, Draupadi is constrained into a polyandrous marriage. This plan does not agree with Draupadi or the siblings. "A woman wedded to one individual is a companion; wedded to more than one, she is a public female." Yudhistira, the oldest of siblings, proposes. She is a miscreant. "Has anybody at any point known about something like this?" says the storyteller. (Narayan, 147). When Yudhistira loses his holdings (including Draupadi) in a dice game to his cousin and rival Duryodhana, the epic arrives at a defining moment. Duryodhana ruthlessly tries to strip Draupadi before a horde of men, inciting her to go to the god Krishna. Duryodhana pulls her sari longer and longer each time, holding her back from being stripped down. It is regularly seen as a sign of help from above. Draupadi's humble disposition is compensated by Krishna, who plays out wonder and consequently ensures her honour. In her assessment of these episodes, "Draupadi's distinctiveness - an expectant mother or whore is utilized displaying masculine traits," Spivak says of these occurrences. She makes the conditions for a fierce trade between guys, which is the successful purpose of the unequivocal fight" (183).

The male-centric components of the Draupadi story are available in Devi's translation to a certain degree. Her idea of "ensuring their blood" suggests that she was accountable for their sexuality, one of the country's key concerns. It's amusing for this situation because the ancestral female repeats the predominant thought of racial virtue, notwithstanding how her clan immaculateness overshadows any remaining markers of distinguishing proof. The craving of the counter-state progressive to secure this "poise" shows how profoundly this philosophy is implanted in the personalities of the country's occupants. In the finishing portion of her story, Devi uncovered the imprudence of this perspective. The progressive female is administered by female conduct standards controlled by the developments of "ideal" epic courageous women who give their ethical strength and actual life to their spouses (Mitter 98).

In contrast to the epic, which keeps up with male-centric authority, Devi's "Draupadi" finishes by undermining winning sexual orientation relations and offering a type of opposition. With Dopdi/revenge Draupadi's intended for her various assault, the film's closing successions upset male-centric legislative issues, which, alongside patriotism, keep female prejudice. Mahaswetha Devi's distortion of Dopdi not just sabotages the standard fantasy of blessed assurance, yet in addition illustrates "legend's ability to appear, change, break down, or vanish totally" (Barthes 120). Legend can change — as a medium for the uprising, offering Dopdi a terrifying grade to her male detainers, even for a short time.

"Draupadi moves forward. She splatters water on the ground. With her teeth, she tears a piece of material. At the point when the gatekeeper sees her odd conduct, he proclaims her crazy and requests headings. Draupadi [Senanayak] stands naked in front of him. Hair on the thighs and pubic region tangled with dried blood. Two injuries, two breasts. [...] Dopdi Mejhen, the subject of your journey. Don't you need to perceive how they made me up? You mentioned them to make me up, isn't that right?" (Devi 196, My Emphasis)

The passage above prompts the story's decision, which raises issues regarding female uprising and protection from man-centric and military abuse. These minutes, intended to address disruption and offence while destabilizing the limits of male-centric and military autocracies, can be deciphered in various

ways. Is this an illustration of how lone revolt has a restricting impact? Is Dopdi's victory just a plot gadget, an oddball refusal to submit to the creator's torture of her person instead of something that can be reproduced or utilized in a social environment?

There is no divine "man" strength that can restore her grandeur or womanly "decency," and Dopdi's "chap wonder" bombs her. The story doesn't "secure" her by taking a peppy or happy tone (which is frequently the situation in customary variants of the epic). Devi's narrative contains just a single printed notice to Krishna, which has all the earmarks of being a parody. The watchmen pay attention to Sanjeev Kumar, a conspicuous Indian film entertainer, playing out a comical depiction of Lord Krishna before securing her. These scenes exhibit religion's overdetermined job as an apparatus for implementing male-centric character and predominance. Men, particularly state specialists, are the ones who pay attention to Krishna's voice, and religion has no significance save in satire. This is like how the Hindu idea of Shakthi, or female guideline, was taken advantage of politically to assemble women in the counter provincial fight, summoning that they are equipped for exceptional chivalry. This philosophical perspective is risky because it legitimizes struggle related practices such as women being constrained to convey weighty arrangements, their co-selecting in help errands planned to keep up with the prosperity of male pioneers, or their utilization as baits/disguises.

The depiction of Draupadi's unwavering misery utilizes two kinds of symbolism. Devi encodes Dopdi's steady assaults, trailed by removing her bosoms, utilizing creature analogies and military/innovation illustrations, dehumanising Dopdi and featuring the carnal ethos that swarms the field of war. This technique rearranges the story's predominant force elements. The watchmen are frightened by Dopdi when she behaves like a creature by tearing her texture with her teeth, and they are frail to manage her. It makes individuals stand up to their impression of her. Carnal mercilessness is typically advocated and legitimized when performed by guys. However, her carnal conduct is considered "silly" since it subverts daily female victimhood highlights.

"Dynamic tissue cylinders fluctuate" is a phrase used to describe how tissue cylinders rise and fall (Devi 195). "Cylinders" conjures up the technical, medical vocabulary of the military, just as references to weapons and deadly implements. Pistons, a representation of the penis, are an overwhelming weapon that assumed a critical part in Dopdi's end. Through the particularly military register, male strength and military power, just as the state's masculinist nature, are reproduced in the story. The use of language to underscore the solid connection between man-centric and state/military/patriot jargon was deliberately chosen. The aftereffect of such wording is sterilization of feeling, permitting people to be treated clinically like military targets. The militarization of language stretches out past the domains of contention, penetrating social fields and filling as a method for generalizing practices like sanitization and the deliberate destruction of suspected protesters. The powerful concurrence of military/state wording with casual, customary ancestral conversations represents a significant part of the story's allure. "The ubiquitous, multinational "language of battle" and terse, percussive words - hostile as well as safeguard" mark military talk." (Spivak 185)

Altogether, the philosophical entrenchment of military jargon is broad to such an extent that both Dodi and her capturers use it to depict demonstrations of gigantic human torment. "Counter" is the most noticeable illustration of the jargon of contention. Another term is "counter," which means "killed by police" (Devi 193). This term plagues Dopdi's mind and guides her idea and deed. At long last, when she is compelled to move toward Senanayak, she challenges him with this term, which has an incantatory

impact. It underscores how language might cover up inconceivably evident and damaging human mischief. By implementing such phrasing, passings and vanishings inside the state are cleaned and deleted from true stories.

In a specific situation, the expression "counter me" has a parodic impact. From one perspective, it seems ludicrous because the progressive female has been obliterated so much and deprived of any similarity to human pride that whatever else she needs to confront, including passing, loses importance for her (Devi 196). Then again, "counter" is a logical inconsistency in that it neglects to accomplish its objective. It engages the inferior female to go against Senanayak, yet additionally to toss the manliness of average patriotism into the crisis with her doubting, "Are you really a man?".

Similarly, the expression "[...] look how they made me" has numerous implications. The order "make her" is practically equivalent to the phrase "counter," in that it drives her to talk, which is unexpected given Spivak's well-known inquiry, "Are the Subalterns able to Speak?" Dopdi, on the other hand, does "speak," but not in the traditional sense; all things considered, she tears her fabric. The gatekeepers do not hear this method of correspondence since her activities are unimaginable to them. Senanayak, who values having the option to "foresee their [the revolutionaries'] each move," can't figure out Dopdi's lead (Devi 194). Subsequently, his guidance to "make her" has missed the mark.

The incongruity "make" is the most remarkable in the story. From one perspective, it has the inverse semantic effect. Perhaps, obliterating the progressive, it restores her. Assault and agony, both of which are weapons of force and control, are the most common methods for "causing" an upheaval. The female assault is widely accepted as confirming male dominance. Its origins can be traced to a long-ago tradition of subjugating an adversary's women to establish regional and military targets. The conviction that assault ensures that a female is "his" is integral to a male character. The assault typifies women as a "sexualized, eroticized, and harmed body" and puts resources into political force when utilized as a man-controlled society statement. The typification of women is once in a while inseparably connected to manly ideas. Senanayak's reaction to the news that the ancestral woman has been squashed vicariously satisfies his manly position, regardless of whether he doesn't effectively participate in the assault. The war mirrors patriotism, which attracts its esteem from a masculinised try in which the country's security is dependent on man-centric frameworks. While the feminized picture of the country as "assaulted," "disrespected," and holding back to be vindicated by fearless warriors/children has penetrated public cognizance. Devi's story portrays "the state, through its middle people – the military, Police, and knowledge administration – as the attacker, assaulting its own residents, those it is committed to ensure" (Ahmed 19-20).

Dopdi is "made" as in, regardless of her consistent assault and torment, it delivers her, making her incredible, brave, and surprisingly unbelievable. Dopdi's "making incites Senanayak's undoing."

Because the female holds on to the organization, at the time of the attack, drains, castrates the language of patriotism, the contention among Dopdi and her ruffian upsets the overall picture of patriotism helped out through its prominent encapsulation - the state. The inferior subject's necessary quietness fizzles. Dopdi, not at all like the kid who bit off his tongue when faced, disregards even her chief's orders and "ululates with the force of her general existence" from the time she is secured. (Devi 195)

The threatening picture of an exhausted, crushed female body who abruptly turns into a sought after, gallant progressive is the most shocking and terrible segment of the book.

During the "making," the name changes from Draupadi to Dopdi take on emblematic importance. Devi arranges female as the subject of the action word, that is, as an entertainer, through her utilization of the expressions "making" and "undoing," which imply intellectual and actual cycles where the lines among annihilation and liberation shift. The changes among ancestral and formal names shape Dopdi's subjectivity. Draupadi's The referent Draupadi promptly connects the progressive female with her epic partner in the story's last half, as she is changed over into a figure of incredible magnitude. (Devi 195).

The story's absolute most mighty deed is "Draupadi pushing Senanayak with her two obliterated breasts," and Devi respects her with the title of an epic bold lady (Devi 196). Before continuing to Devi's situation on legend, it's intriguing to notice how Devi utilizes every second to exhibit the principal elements of progressive practice for women. Dodi's presence as a progressive requires the reception of "macho" hardware and methodology, for example, Her split blade and tobacco in her girdle, the secret language she handles, and her subsequent inclusion follows the homicide of her husband. She is, nonetheless, unmistakable and barred from the male progressives' exercises. In reality, her male confidants double-cross her, and the female is entrusted with "acknowledge[ing] rout and begin[ing] the tasks of the accompanying stage," which is an inferred prosecution of Naxalism's man-centric underpinnings (Devi 195). She, then again, will not acknowledge rout.

Devi summons the story now while at the same time evolving it. While the grand champion petitions Lord Krishna for wonder and trusts that his intercession will ensure her bare body, the ancestral female is feeble to depend on any man. Devi changes the entire narratives of the epic by permitting her assault and bareness to restrain her, utilizing them as a weapon. The Mahabharata allocates rape and exposure, for example, embarrassment, misfortune, and dread, to solidify influence strategies in this specific scene. Mahasweta's Dopdi "amusingly switches the semiotics of these markers to manifest a feeling of perplexity, incomprehension, and dread among the male-ruled society structures," as indicated by the craftsman (Sharma 6).

Since Dodi has lost everything in the story, her last venture of resistance turns into a victorious second. If there is an equation between the epic figure's helplessness and the progressive, it is momentary. When she pushes Senanayak with her breasts, her body, which fills in as a repository for male exercises, becomes dynamic and compromised. The scars of the fight become apparent, representing how people's inborn presence is exposed to epitome (Jayatilleke 1). Devi empowers the groundbreaking force of her injuries, conveyed through the mocking utilization of the restorative illustration "make-up," regardless of the way that the crushed feminine body is shown to provide biased verdicts. The progressive female is "made" in the feeling of "ensured of achievement" by means of her sufferings and can face the male with the exposed presentation of her crushed female body in her reiteration of "you requested that they make me up" (Devi 196). This figure communicates women's activist emancipatory legislative issues that go past the man-centric directions and philosophy. In the last scene, the idea of manliness, which is so essential to the patriot plan, is destabilized, and the male look can't go up against its standard article, the female body. The dressed female body is transformed and presents a site of an uprising, as it is revered with conventional qualities and culture. The story's climactic minutes highlight how women are viewed as sexual creatures. Average profound rate and domineering patriotism are associated and lined up with perspectives on female sexuality and conduct. These issues are identified with the issue of female organization and subjectivity.

To intricate, the breasts are generally seen to be the actual portrayal of female character and subjectivity. Dopdi's bareness implies inferior dismissal to the very organizations that set up originations of "decency" and class-stamped rules of female conduct — the state, family, and religion. It is layered on top of the advancement of working-class standards of decency and humility; Dopdi's forceful displaying of her exposure uncovers the repetition of working-class standards of decency and unobtrusiveness, which become negligible, despite the conflict.

Indeed, even a similarity to "business as usual" is deficient to grasp Dopdi's aggravation. Devi causes to notice the legislative issues of legend, to how a few fantasies are magnified (such as the Sita dream), whilst uncertainties within others (such as the Draupadi legend) undervalue them by choosing the Draupadi myth as a worldview for the lesser lady. Draupadi is a fantasy that is not too known as the others. Her polyandrous marriage could be the wellspring of the story's incredible feelings. Indeed, even while the epic justifies and vindicates Draupadi's relationship with five men, the strange sexual cooperation of such a marriage keeps her from being lauded as a "great" spouse. However, no spot is made for her to communicate her sentiments about the game plan: that she might discover delight in having sexual experiences with five siblings or that she might consider the thought ghastly. Regardless, she is denied of her entitlement to pick. This absence of a decision is similar to the predicament of the common woman. Various assaults, severe financial abuse, and body mutilation establish a composite of her lived encounters. Devi's hero is gotten among fantasy and its "reality" setting and finds that the best way to acquire organization is through extreme, "unnerving" techniques. Getting back to the topic of how to decipher this demonstration of resistance. "Dopdi might not have accomplished the heavenly status and heightening of eminence that epic Draupadi did, yet she has made a permanent imprint on the archives of tribals and the wrongdoing diagrams of specialists, just as generally prevailing male social orders, as a symbol of their ruthlessness and the Woman's appropriate response." (Chowdhury, 277)

Pesso-Miquel and Sharma interpret Dopdi's last venture as opposition. Dhillon indicates that it ends up being the actual substance of women's activist confidence in India. Devi's authorship, in reality, allows her to venture into the uncertain. Williams analysed that despite the actual violence, Devi's handling fills in as an unmistakable update. She addressed that body permits a lady to demonstrate the veracity of the historical backdrop of viciousness against the lower people (Williams 24-25). Overemphasizing the importance or implications of such hitch demonstrations inside the domains of the truth is conceivably misrepresented. Nonetheless, writing can change the anonymous, overall dimensions of fear into individualized, human contextual investigations, blending the personal and general culture, regardless of whether fiction can survive just defenselessly archive persecution by reviving invented characters (Pesso-Miquel 150).

CONCLUSION

Draupadi is transformed into a symbol of defiance. She symbolises millions of tribal women resisting oppression and daring to stand up to imperialism and patriarchy. The tribal woman is marginalised in more ways than one, as she is constantly afraid of being victimised. Mahasweta Devi's poems do not romanticise the tribal lady; instead, they are so realistic that they awaken the reader and urge for a deeper understanding of tribal identity and rights. Mahasweta Devi has successfully addressed ethnic community issues in her writings as an activist. Even if the obstruction depicted in "Draupadi" is unlikely to be unfeasible, regardless of whether they fall outside the realm of "cumulative appliance". Mahasweta Devi

keeps on making new and creative dreams of life and decries dogmatism, intolerance, and abhorrence's of different types in idyllic, there is hope that recent investigations and twists may emerge, thanks to the hilarious and ever-restored language."

WORKS CITED:

- Ahmed, Akbar S. "Ethnic Cleansing: A Metaphor for Our Time?" *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, vol. 18, no. 1, 1995, pp. 1-22.
- Bandyopadhyay, Samik. 1986. Introduction. Five plays. Mahasweta Devi, Seagull Books, 1986. pp. Vii xvii.
- Bloom, Mia. *Bombshell: Women and Terrorism*. University of Pennsylvania, 2011.
- Choudhary, Shweta. "Unarmed Mutiny of Draupadi in Mahasweta Devi's 'Draupadi'." *Language in India*, vol.16, no. 1, 2016, pp. 271-279.
- Devi, Mahasweta. "Draupadi." In *Other Worlds: Essays in Cultural Politics*, edited by Gayatri Spivak, Routledge, 1988, pp. 179-196.
- Dhillon, Monika. 2013. "Postcolonialism and Feminist Assertion in Mahasweta Devi's 'Draupadi'." *Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, vol 1, no. 4, 2013, pp. 72-77.
- Jayathilake, Chitra. "Rebels and Biopolitics: Mahasweta Devi's Mother of 1084." *Studies in Literature and Language*, vol. 12, no. 5, 2016, pp. 1-5.
- Mitter, Sarah S. *Dharma's Daughters*. Penguin, 1992.
- Mukherjee, Meenakshi. *The Twice Born Fiction*. Heinemann, 1971.
- Narayan, R. K. *Gods, Demons and Others*. Heinemann, 1965.
- Pesso-Miquel, Catherine. "Addressing Oppression in Literature." *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, vol. 43, no. 2, 2007, pp. 149-160.
- Sen, Nandini. *Mahasweta Devi: Critical Perspectives*. Pencraft International, 2011.
- Sharma, Nidhi. "Reinterpreting Myth in Mahasweta Devi's 'Draupadi' OG" *International Journal of English Research*, vol. 3, no. 4, 2017, pp. 5-7.
- Smith, Anthony D. *National Identity*. Penguin, 1991.
- Spivak, Gayatri C. In *Other Worlds*. Routledge, 1988.
- Sunder Rajan, Rajeswari. *Real and Imagined Women: Gender, Culture and Post-Colonialism*. Routledge, 1993.
- Williams, Délice. "Figuring Resistance: Abject Temporality and Subaltern Agency in Mahasweta Devi's Short Fiction." *South Asian Review*, vol. 37, no. 2, 2016, pp. 9-27.