

# Durability Properties of Geopolymer Concrete Produced with Recycled Coarse Aggregates and Quarry Stone Dust

Naveena Kanaboyana<sup>1\*</sup>, Sudarsana Rao Hanchate<sup>2</sup>, Vaishali G. Ghorpade<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, J.N.T.University, Anantapur-515002, AP, India <sup>2,3</sup>Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, J.N.T.U.A college of Engineering, Anantapur-515002, AP, India

#### Abstract

Ordinary Portland cement concrete was notorious in the world for its authenticity, adaptability and durability. Concrete material was widely used like water. OPC was prominent in construction but, it was not ecological due to colossal energy devouring in its manufacture and due to issuance of humongous CO2 [1]. Exertion was desired to develop an ecofriendly construction- material for diminishing diffusion of green house gases into atmosphere. The enterprise to evolve an environmental friendly concrete had afford bountiful alternatives. Geopolymer Concrete was the one eminent among them [2].This research paper introduces an experimental studies on durability of Geopolymer Concrete using flyash and recycled aggregates such as Quarry Stone Dust and Recycle Coarse Aggregate. Geopolymer concrete was prepared using flyash as primary source material instead of cement and sand was replaced with Quarry Stone Dust at various proportions such as 0, 20, 40, 60, 80 &100 % and Course Aggregate was replaced with Recycle Coarse Aggregate at various replacements i.e. 0, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100%. Studies on durability performance under acid attack test were made. The acid used was H2SO4 of 5% Concentration. The Specimens are cured in acid for 30, 60 & 90 days. The molarity used was 12M. Cubes (100x100x100 mm) and cylinders (200x100 mm) are cured for 28 days at ambient temperature. After cubes & cylinders were immersed in H2SO4 solution for 30, 60 & 90 days, reduction in strength was observed.

Keywords: Durability, Geopolymer concrete, OPC, Quarry Stone Dust and Recycle Coarse Aggregate

# Introduction

Due to its durability, concrete was widely used as constriction material for so many types of structures. To turn down global thermostat by utilizing waste materials has aided in construction because it reduces diffusion of green house gases [3]. Geopolymer Concrete used in this paper was made up of flyash, sand, Quarry Stone Dust, Coarse Aggregate, Recycle Coarse Aggregate & Alkaline solution of NaOH and Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>. These all plays an important role in environmental control of green house stuff [4].In aggressive environments for the performance of structure; durability was the most important requirement [5]. For structural materials, acid resistance was the one of basic property. In aggressive environments, durability of flyash based GPC has been noted to have admirable durability when compared with OPC concrete [7]. When exposed to sulphuric acid, Geopolymer morter made with flyash and varying alkali content showed variable degree of deterioration [8]. GPC was high resistant to  $H_2SO_4$  (in terms of low mass loss i.e. < 3%) [9]. Flyash based Geopolymer Concrete when exposed to 5%  $H_2SO_4$  solution gives outstanding work and had 1.96% of weight loss [10]. Based on above mentioned information, it was noted that GPC shown very good accomplishment on durability property. This research paper aims on studies of durability property of Class F flyash Geopolymer Concrete when immersed in  $H_2SO_4$  of 5% concentration.

## **Materials**

Class F flyash was obtained from Rayalaseema Thermal Power Plant (RTTP) having fineness of 360 (m<sup>2</sup> /Kg) and specific gravity of 2.24. The chemical composition of Class F flyash was shown in table 1. To mobilize class F flyash, alkaline solution was made ready by using Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub> & NaOH. Sand was taken from Pandemeru River near JNTUACEA as fine aggregate having specific gravity and fineness modulus as 2.58, 2.64. Coarse Aggregate (20mm & 10mm) with 2.68 specific gravity was used. Recycled materials like Quarry Stone Dust

and Recycled Coarse Aggregate was used for making Geopolymer Concrete. Fine aggregate was replaced with Quarry Stone Dust in different proportions (0, 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100%) where as Coarse Aggregate was replaced with Recycle Coarse Aggregate just as percentages of Quarry Stone Dust.

Particulars	Class "F" flyash	ASTM C 618 Class "F" flyash
Chemical composition		
% Silica(SiO <sub>2</sub> )	65.6	
% Alumina(Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	28.0	
% Iron Oxide(Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	3.0	SiO <sub>2</sub> + Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> + Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> >70
% Lime(CaO)	1.0	
% Magnesia(MgO)	1.0	
% Titanium Oxide (TiO <sub>2</sub> )	0.5	
% Sulphur Trioxide (SO3)	0.2	Max. 5.0
Loss on Ignition	0.29	Max. 6.0
Physical properties		
Specific gravity	2.24	
Fineness (m <sup>2</sup> /Kg)	360	Min.225 m <sup>2</sup> /kg

Table 1. Chemical and Physical Properties of Class F Fly Ash

# **Testing Procedures**

Class F flyash based Geopolymer Concrete was made with alkaline Solution ratio [Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>/ NaOH] of 2.5. Strength was maximum when Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>/ NaOH were 2.5 [11]. Molarity of NaOH was chosen as 12. Fine and Coarse Aggregates used were in saturated surface dry condition. Firstly in a pan, flyash and aggregates were dry-mixed. Later alkaline solution was added and then mixed. The cubes (100x100x100 mm) and cylinders (100x200 mm) were casted. Specimens were neatly covered to prevent evaporation. These specimens are leaved at room temperature for one day. Later the cylinders are de-moulded and were ambient cured for 28 days.

# **Results and Discussion**

## **Resistance to Sulphuric Acid**

To know the durability property of Geopolymer Concrete after ambient curing for 28 days, the specimens were engrossed in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution of 5% concentration. The specimens are cured for a period of 30, 60 & 90 days. With distilled water the Sulphuric acid was diluted earlier. The pH value of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was 6.8 to 7. The immersed specimens were examined frequently; if the solution was evaporated add extra solution to maintain the same pH value. Figure 1 and 2 shows progression of compressive and tensile strengths of cube and cylinder specimens.

Figure 1. Reducing 98% conc of sulphuric acid to 5% conc



Figure 2. Specimens left for acid curing (30, 60, 90 days)



#### Compressive Strength of GPC when exposed to 5% conc. of H2SO4 Sol

The culminations of compression strength values when cubes were immersed in 5% conc of  $H_2SO_4$  Sol and their percentage loss in strengths are pictured in graphical form from Fig. 3 to Fig. 8.

Figure 3. Compressive Strength of GPC Cubes after Immersion in 5%  $\rm H_2SO_4$  at 28, 30, 60 and 90 days for 0% RCA



Figure 4. Compressive Strength of GPC Cubes after Immersion in 5%  $\rm H_2SO_4$  at 28, 30, 60 and 90 days for 20% RCA



Figure 5. Compressive Strength of GPC Cubes after Immersion in 5%  $\rm H_2SO_4$  at 28, 30, 60 and 90 days for 40% RCA



Figure 6. Compressive Strength of GPC Cubes after Immersion in 5% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 28, 30, 60 and 90 days for 60% RCA



Figure 7. Compressive Strength of GPC Cubes after Immersion in 5% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 28, 30, 60 and 90 days for 80% RCA



Figure 8. Compressive Strength of GPC Cubes after Immersion in 5%  $\rm H_2SO_4$  at 28, 30, 60 and 90 days for 100% RCA



Figure 9. Distorted shapes of cubes when immersed in Sulphuric acid for 90days



The specimens at surface & around the edges were lightly broken. Some fine cracks and aggregates were distinctly noticeable. The specimens after 30 days of exposure to solution of 5% sulphuric acid was not that much distorted though collated to 60 and 90 days of immersion. Geopolymer Concrete cube specimens had loss in its strength after 30, 60 and 90 days after exposure to H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution. This possibly ascribed to

ruination of Alumina-Silicate bond in GPC created by H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> attack, since Alumina-Silicate bonding was necessary for strength. Density of GPC cubes were moderately reduced when exposure period was increased. Diminished in density also depends on fineness of flyash [14]. The flyash has smaller particles which lead to denser concrete mixture. Since Geopolymer Concrete produced lower density. The bonding was good because the surface of flyash particles was not smooth and results in weight loss due to H2SO4 attack. In 80% & 100% Recycle Coarse Aggregate proportion, when specimens are immersed in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution for 90 days the cube specimens are completely distorted as shown in Figure 9.

Split tensile strength of GPC when exposed to 5% conc. of H2SO4 sol:

The culminations of split tensile strength values are pictured in graphical form from figure 10 to 15.

Figure 10. Split Tensile Strength of GPC Cylinders after Immersion in 5%  $H_2SO_4$  at 28, 30, 60 and 90 days for 0% RCA



Figure 11. Split Tensile Strength of GPC Cylinders after Immersion in 5% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 28, 30, 60 and 90 days for 20% RCA



Figure 12. Split Tensile Strength of GPC Cylinders after Immersion in 5% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 28, 30, 60 and 90 days for 40% RCA



Figure 13. Split Tensile Strength of GPC Cylinders after Immersion in 5%  $\rm H_2SO_4$  at 28, 30, 60 and 90 days



Figure 14. Split Tensile Strength of GPC Cylinders after Immersion in 5%  $H_2SO_4$  at 28, 30, 60 and 90 days for 80% RCA



Figure 15. Split Tensile Strength of GPC Cylinders after Immersion in 5% H2SO4 at 28, 30, 60 and 90 days for 100% RCA.



Figure 16. Distorted shapes of cylinders when exposed to Sulphuric acid



Geopolymer Concrete cylinder specimens had loss in its strength after 30, 60 and 90 days after exposure to H2SO4 solution. This possibly ascribed to ruination of Alumina-Silicate bond in GPC created by H2SO4 attack, since Alumina-Silicate bonding was necessary for strength. Density of GPC cylinders were moderately reduced when exposure period was increased. In 80% & 100% Recycle Coarse Aggregate proportion, when specimens are immersed in H2SO4 solution for 90 days the cylinder specimens are completely distorted as shown in Figure 16.

# Conclusions

Based on above results, the conclusions were made

- 1. Compressive strength loss takes place when increase in Recycle Coarse Aggregate percent. This is due to high water absorption. Similarly this was done for split tensile strength.
- 2. For all mixes, increase in Quarry Stone Dust percent leads to increase in strength, both compression and tension up to 40% beyond that the strength leads to decrease.
- 3. The Geopolymer Concrete specimens shows little changes in strength and weight when immersed in  $H_2SO_4$  for 30 & 60 days.
- 4. As curing period increases in Sulphuric acid, weight and strength loss takes place.

- 5. For mixes 80% & 100% Recycle Coarse Aggregate in place of Coarse Aggregate, the specimens are totally distorted when when immersed in H2SO4 for 90 days of curing.
- 6. Geopolymer Concrete attains high strength in early stages when collated to OPC concrete.
- 7. Degradation in strength depends on duration of curing period & concentration of acid solution.
- 8. When exposed to Sulphuric acid, Geopolymer Concrete cube surface undergo only erosion.
- 9. The Calcium content was low in flyash based Geopolymer Concrete which leads to better performance when immersed in Sulphuric acid.
- 10. In aggressive environments Geopolymer Concrete has excellent durability.

## REFERENCES

[1] Aleem, M. A., & Arumairaj, P. D. Geopolymer concrete–a review. International journal of engineering sciences & emerging technologies, (2012). 1(2), 118-122.

[2] Sara banu. J , Dr.Kumutha. R , Dr.Vijai. K A Review on Durability Studies of Geopolymer Concrete and Mortar under Aggressive EnvironmentSSRG International Journal of Civil Engineering (SSRG-IJCE) – volume 4 Issue 5 – May 2017 ISSN: 2348 – 8352

[3] D. Hardjito, S. E. Wallah, D. M. J. Sumajouw, and B. V. Rangan, "On the development of fly ash-based geopolymer concrete," ACI Materials Journal, vol. 101, no. 6, pp. 467–472, 2004.View at: Google Scholar

[4] A. M. Fernández-Jiménez, A. Palomo, and C. López-Hombrados, "Engineering properties of alkali-activated fly ash concrete," ACI Materials Journal, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 106–112, 2006.View at: Google Scholar

[5] N. S. Martys, "Survey of concrete transport properties and their measurement," NISTIR 5592, US Department of Commerce, Washington, DC, USA, 1996.View at: Google Scholar

[6] M. G. Alexander and B. J. Magee, "Durability performance of concrete containing condensed silica fume," Cement and Concrete Research, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 917–922, 1999.View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

[7] T. Bakharev, J. G. Sanjayan, and Y.-B. Cheng, "Resistance of alkali-activated slag concrete to acid attack," Cement and Concrete Research, vol. 33, no. 10, pp. 1607–1611, 2003.View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

[8] T. Bakharev, J. G. Sanjayan, and Y.-B. Cheng, "Sulfate attack on alkali-activated slag concrete," Cement and Concrete Research, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 211–216, 2002.View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

[9] D. M. Roy and G. M. Idorn, "Hydration, structure, and properties of blast furnace slag cements, mortars and concrete," ACI Material Journal, vol. 79, no. 12, pp. 444–457, 1982.View at: Google Scholar

[10] S. Thokchom, P. Ghosh, and S. Ghosh, "Acid resistance of fly ash based geopolymer mortars," International Journal of Recent Trends in Engineering, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 36–40, 2009.View at: Google Scholar

[11] X. J. Song, M. Masrosszeky, M. Brungs, and R. Munn, "Durability of fly ash based geopolymer concrete against sulphuric acid attack," in Proceedings of the International Conference on Durability of Building Materials and Components, France, 2005.View at: Google Scholar

[12] T. Bakharev, "Resistance of geopolymer materials to acid attack," Cement and Concrete Research, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 658–670, 2005.View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

[13] M. A. M. Ariffin, M. A. R. Bhutta, M. W. Hussin, M. Mohd Tahir, and N. Aziah, "Sulfuric acid resistance of blended ash geopolymer concrete," Construction and Building Materials, vol. 43, pp. 80–86, 2013.View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

[14] J. Padhye, V. Firoiu, and D. Towsley, A stochastic model of TCP Reno S. Aydin, H. Yazici, H. Yiğiter, and B. Baradan, "Sulfuric acid resistance of high-volume fly ash concrete," Building and Environment, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 717–721, 2007.View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar.

[15] P. Chindaprasirt, S. Homwuttiwong, and V. Sirivivatnanon, "Influence of fly ash fineness on strength, drying shrinkage and sulfate resistance of blended cement mortar," Cement and Concrete Research, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 1087–1092, 2004.View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

[16] J. Davidovits ,"High –Alkali Cements for 21st Century Concretes in Concrete Technology, Past, Present and Future", Proceedings of V.Mohan Malotra Symposium, ACIP-144, pp.383-397. (1994).

[17] J. Davidovits, "Soft mineralogy and geopolymers", in proceeding of Geopolymer 88 International conference, the Universite and Technologie, Compiegne, France. pp. 125-146 (1998).

[18] Rangan. B.V., "Fly ash based geopolymer concrete, Research report GC4", Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Australia. (2006).

[19] Mohd MustafaAl Bakri, Mohammed .H., Kamarudin .H., Khairul Niza.I., Zarina.Y., "Review on fly ashbased geopolymer concrete without Portland cement". Journal of Engineering and Technology Research Vol. 3(1): pp 1-4. (2011).

[20] Sujatha.T., Kannapiran. K and Nagan.S., "Strength assessment of heat cured geopolymer concrete slender column", Asian Journal of civil Engineering (Building and Housing) Vol.13 No.5, pp.635-646. (2012).

[21] User Manuel of ANSYS -12 from online (http://www.Ansys12.co.in)

[22] Lee, W.K.W. and Van Deventer, J.S.J. "The interface between natural siliceous aggregates And Geopolymers", Cement and Concrete Research, Vol.34, pp.195-206, 2004.

[23] Palomo, A., Fernandez-Jimenez, A., Lopez-Hombrados, C. and Lleyda, J.L. "Precast Elements Made of Alkali-Activated Fly Ash Concrete", Eighth CANMET/ACI International Conference on Fly Ash, Silica Fume, Slag, and Natural Pozzolans in Concrete, Las Vegas, USA, 2004.

[24] Sumajouw, D.M.J. and Rangan, B.V. "Low Calcium Fly Ash-Based Geopolymer Concrete Reinforced Beams and Columns", Research Report GC 3, Curtin University of Technology, Australia, 2006.

[25] Anurag Mishra, DeepikaChaudhary, Namrata Jain, Manish Kumar and Nidhi Sharda, "Effect of Concentration of alkaline liquid and curing time on strength and water absorptionOf geopolymer concrete", APRNJournal of Engineering and Applied sciences, 2008

[26] Paul J. Tikalsky "Uses of fly ash in concrete", ACI committee, ACI 232.2R-96,2007.11. IS 383:1970 "Specification for coarse and fine aggregates from naturalsources for concrete".

[27] IS 2386:1963 Part (III), "Indian Standards for Methods of tests for Aggregates for Concrete", Part III, Specific Gravity, Density, Void, Absorption and Bulking.

[28] Hardjito D, Wallah SE, Rangan BV. Study on Engineering Properties of Fly Ash-Based Geopolymer Concrete. Journal of the Australasian Ceramic Society 2002;38 (1):44-47. 13. Bakharev, T. Geopolymeric materials prepared using Class F fly ashand elevated Temperature curing, Cement and Concrete Research, Vol.35, pp.1224-1232, 2006.

[29] IS 5816: Indian Standard method of test for splitting tensile strengthof Concrete, 1999.