

Pathogenesis Of Vaginal Prolapse With Rectocele Formation

Radzinsky V.E.¹ , Orazov M.R.² , Mikhaleva L.M.³ , Krestinin M.V.⁴

¹Development Of Research Design, Editing And Revision Of The Manuscript

²Analysis And Generalization Of Literature Data, Editing And Revision Of The Manuscript

³Analysis And Generalization Of Literature Data, Editing And Revision Of The Manuscript

⁴Collection Of Literature Data, Analysis And Generalization Of Literature Data, Text Writing And Manuscript Design.

Summary

Objective. To carry out a systematic analysis of the data available in the modern literature on the pathogenesis of the vaginal prolapse with the formation of.

Framework. The failure of the pelvic floor, namely its posterior compartment with the formation of a rectocele against the background of the lowering of the posterior vaginal wall, continues to be one of the most common gynecological diseases. This article presents an analysis of current literature data on the pathogenesis of pelvic organ prolapse with the formation of rectocele.

Conclusion.

Analyzing the above-mentioned data, it can be noted that the research data of recent years have significantly expanded the understanding of the etiology and pathogenesis of pelvic organ prolapse in its posterior compartment. However, the issues of etiology and pathogenesis of genital prolapse in patients of reproductive age, the role of individual risk factors and their combinations, pathogenetic mechanisms of development are still far from being resolved. Unfortunately, none of the above theories fully explains all the reasons for the formation of pelvic organ prolapse in its posterior compartment.

Keywords. Rectocele, vaginal wall prolapse, pathogenesis.

Introduction.

Pelvic floor failure (PFF) is a common urogenital disease affecting 41-50% of women over 40 years of age [1].

PFF significantly reduces the quality of life of women, as it affects all her spheres of life: personal, professional, social, psychological. To assess the negative impact on women's quality of life, various questionnaires have been created to characterize both the role of pelvic organ dysfunction in their daily activity and the severity of sexual dysfunction, as well as the quality of life in general [2].

Damage of the structures (fascial and muscular compartment) of the pelvic floor leads to their subsequent dysfunction. How the prolapse is formed depends on where the defect is located. Nevertheless, with all types of omission of the pelvic organs, the primary violation concerns the pelvic floor, and not the prolapsing organ. This can be justified by the basics of herniology, according to which the hernial sac is formed only after the hernial gate is formed.

It should be noted that for PFFhernial gates are defects of the entire pelvic floor complex. In this regard, there is no doubt about the need for early non-invasive objective diagnosis of anatomical and functional disorders of the structures supporting the pelvic organs. Topographically, the pelvic floor is stratified into 3 main parts: the anterior, in which the bladder and urethra are located, the middle - the vagina and uterus, and the posterior - the rectum and anus.

It is necessary to note that prolapse could form both within one part and in various combinations. Based on these considerations, it is very important to consider the system of pelvic organs and pelvic floor as a whole.

The purpose of this review is a systematic analysis of the data available in the modern literature regarding the pathogenesis of the formation of vaginal prolapse with the formation of rectocele.

Classification and pathogenesis:

The formation of such a serious disease as rectocele still has a number of contradictory theories that do not have a reliable evidence base, which, in turn, prevents the creation of a reliable therapeutic algorithm of actions when detecting this condition [14].


The unique nature of the pelvic floor often remains not completely diagnosed, as patients complain, as a rule, of one of the problems of genital prolapse, prolapse of the genitals, constipation and sexual disorders or chronic pelvic pain [15]. At the same time, epidemiological studies indicate that a large number of women have genital prolapse in one of the parts of the pelvic floor, while more than 65% of women aged 60 to 70 have a degree 2 prolapse [16]. Prolapse of the posterior vaginal wall is the most frequent type of prolapse, having the worst treatment results, most prone to relapses [17]. Thus, the condition of the pelvic floor worries women of all age groups, regardless of racial differences, obstetric or concomitant gynecological and extragenital diseases.

Rectocele is a disease in which part of the rectum protrudes towards the vagina and gradually prolapses its wall, forming a bag-like pocket in which fecal masses are retained.

Scientists distinguish three degrees of the disease.

- Degree 1 – patients have no complaints, the act of defecation is not violated, and the rectocele is diagnosed accidentally when examined by a doctor for another reason. Rectal examination reveals a slight protrusion of the rectal wall.
- Degree 2 - there are violations of the act of defecation, patients complain of a feeling of a foreign body in the vagina, a feeling of incomplete bowel movement. During rectal examination, a bag-like pocket is found, reaching the size of the vestibule of the vagina.

- Degree 3 - patients experience pronounced difficulties with defecation, manual handling is necessary. The protrusion of the posterior wall of the vagina together with the rectum extends beyond the genital slit. The pocket contains feces, and sometimes fecal concretions. The vaginal wall located outside the genital slit undergoes sclerotic changes and ulceration.



According to the level of the defect, three types of rectocele are distinguished:

1. Low rectocele. It is located in the lower part of the vagina and is combined with changes in the sphincter of the rectum.
2. Average. Located in the middle third of the vagina.
3. High. Located in the upper part of the vagina [10,11].

Researchers all over the world have been arguing about the causes of the appearance and formation of rectocele for many years. There is still no consensus on the etiology and pathogenesis of this disease. None of the many proposed theories can fully explain all the causes of prolapse, clearly substantiate the stages of pathogenesis [12].

Existing ideas about possible risk factors are very diverse. For example: pregnancy and childbirth, including those complicated by obstetric trauma of the perineum, features of the woman's constitution and insufficiency of parametral fiber, pathology of the ligamentous apparatus of the uterus, chronic increase in intra-abdominal pressure, hypoeostrogenia, systemic connective tissue dysplasia, disorders of

innervation and blood circulation of the pelvic floor, biochemical changes in perineum tissues, genetic conditioning [13].

Connective tissue dysplasia (CTD) is considered the dominant theory of the development of genital prolapse. Some researchers name up to 37% of cases of pelvic prolapse [3]. PP is a genetically determined disease that manifests itself because of CTD and accompanies diseases such as varicose veins, hypermobility of joints, and prolapse of heart valves 8 times more often.

For a better understanding of the pathophysiology of PP, knowledge of the anatomy of the supporting apparatus of the pelvic organs is necessary. Both intra-abdominal pressure and the force of attraction act on the pelvic floor. In other words, powerful anatomical structures are needed to counteract these forces. If we talk about the ligamentous apparatus, it cannot provide such a force, especially the suspensory (round and wide ligaments of the uterus). More effective is the fixing one, which includes the cardinal, sacro-uterine and pubic-vesicular ligaments of the uterus.

The pathogenesis of rectocele is based on changes in the connective tissue structures of the pelvic floor. The production of collagen and elastin fibers, proteoglycans decreases, the spatial structure of protein-carbohydrate complexes of the connective tissue matrix is disrupted. As a result, the musculoskeletal system of the pelvic floor, and in particular, the rectovaginal fascia, do not provide normal architectonics of the pelvic organs. Scientific work concerning the connective tissue of the supporting apparatus is actively being carried out. Such markers that fully relate to the genetic determinants of weak muscle and connective tissues, leading to the formation of hernia orifice, have been studied. Among such factors, collagen-alpha-1 (COL1A1), matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2) [4], tissue inhibitor (TIMP-2) [5], transforming growth factor beta-1 (TGF- β 1) and smooth muscle actin (SMA), troponin system are considered, but there is no clear idea of the pathogenesis yet.

Another theory of the occurrence of this disease is obstetric trauma. From 10 to 30% of natural childbirth ends with trauma of M. levator ani [18]. During the second period of labor, the pelvic floor, its muscles, nerves and fascia are damaged (stretching, compression and ischemia), subsequently there is a gaping of the genital slit and a decrease in muscle strength [19, 20]. However, the occurrence of rectocele among patients who did not have obstetric injuries and women who did not give birth is inexplicable [21].

Another theory of the occurrence of pelvic organ prolapse and the formation of rectocele is an increase in intra-abdominal pressure, which has a negative effect on the pelvic floor muscles and causes urinary incontinence, contributes to the development of rectocele when exposed to the pelvic floor muscles [18]. Constipation, chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases, which, like the body mass index, increase intra-abdominal pressure, also contribute to the development of PP [22, 23]. During the study of the structure of the vaginal walls, regular changes in the mucous membrane and the biocenosis of the vagina can also be revealed [6].

The onset of omission and prolapse of pelvic organs, and in particular the formation of rectocele does not occur immediately, but develops slowly, for 10-20 years, long manifesting in PFF.

The manifestation of PFF is often missed by outpatient doctors due to nonspecific complaints and insufficient knowledge of the anatomy of the perineum and the underlying structures. In this regard, it should be additionally noted that normally, the genital gap even of a pluripara woman should not gape.

The gaping of the genital slit, which occurs due to a defect in the muscolofascial structures of the pelvic floor, increases the risk of developing changes in the normal microbiota of the vagina [7].

Another structure, the pelvic floor that provides the physiological location of the genitals has not yet been studied. There is a direct correlation between the size of the m. levatorani defect, symptoms and/or signs of prolapse, namely the posterior compartment [8].

The pelvic floor is a bitissue structure, the thickness of which is a striated muscle enclosed in a fascial case. In fact, it is a domed formation facing upwards and shrinking to increase intra-abdominal pressure. The failure of this system may occur due to an improperly formed muscle, which is based on a violation of the protein components of the muscle or collagen synthesis.

Despite the fact that the problem of PFF is the subject of many discussions, modern positions on prevention, timely diagnosis and correction of PP have not been determined.

Conclusion

After analyzing the presented data, it can be noted that the results of recent studies have significantly expanded the understanding of the etiology and pathogenesis of pelvic organ prolapse in its posterior compartment. However, the issues of genital prolapse among patients of reproductive age, its prediction, the role of individual risk factors and their combination, the mechanisms of development of PFF in general and rectocele, in particular, are still far from final solution. Unfortunately, none of the above theories fully explains all the reasons for the formation of pelvic organ prolapse in its posterior compartment.

References

1. Abhyankar P., Uny I., Semple K., Wane S. et al. Women's experiences of receiving care for pelvic organ prolapse: a qualitative study // BMC Womens Health. 2019. Vol. 19, N 1. P. 45.
2. Zuchelo L.T.S., Bezerra I.M.P., Da Silva A.T.M., Gomes J.M., Soares Júnior J.M., Chada Baracat E. Luiz Carlos de Abreu and Isabel Cristina Esposito Sorpreso. Questionnaires to evaluate pelvic floor dysfunction in the postpartum period: a systematic review. Int J Womens Health. 2018; 10: 409-24. doi: 10.2147/IJWH.S164266
3. Scheper M.C., Juul-Kristensen B., Rombaut L., Rameckers E.A. et al. Disability in adolescents and adults diagnosed with hypermobility-related disorders: a meta-analysis // Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 2017. Vol. 97, N 12. P. 2174 - 2187.
4. Yilmaz N., Ozaksit G., Terzi Y.K., Yilmaz S. et al. HOXA11 and MMP2 gene expression in uterosacral ligaments of women with pelvic organ prolapse // J. Turk. Ger. Gynecol. Assoc. 2014. Vol. 15, N 2. P. 104-108.
5. Alarab M., Drutz H., Lye S. Static mechanical loading influences the expression of extracellular matrix and cell adhesion proteins in vaginal cells derived from premenopausal women with severe pelvic organ prolapse // Reprod. Sci. 2017. Vol. 23, N 8. P. 978-992
6. Alujevic Jakus I., Jakus D., Marinovic J., Cavar M. et al. Expression of mitochondrial respiratory chain complexes in the vaginal wall in postmenopausal women with pelvic organ prolapse // Gynecol. Obstet. Invest. 2018. Vol. 83, N 5. P. 487-492.
7. Radzinsky V.E. Guide to outpatient care in obstetrics and gynecology. 2nd ed., reprint. and

- additional M. : GEOTAR-Media, 2014. 944s.
8. Dietz H.P. Quantification of major morphological abnormalities of the levatorani // *Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol.* 2017. Vol. 26, N 3. P. 329334.
 9. Rostaminia G., White D., Hegde A., Quiroz L.H. et al. Levatorani deficiency and pelvic organ prolapse severity // *Obstet. Gynecol.* 2013. Vol. 121, N 5. P. 1017-1024.
 10. Vorobyev G. I. Fundamentals of coloproctology. Moscow: "MIA", 2016. pp. 193-208
 11. Little-invasive technologies in surgical treatment of patients with rectocele and hemorrhoid / B.N. Zhukov [et. al.] // *Proktologia. - NR1/08. - Vol.9. - P158*
 12. Radzinsky V.E., Shalaev O.N., DurandinYu.M., Semyatov S.M., Toktar L.R., SalimovaL.Ya. Perineology. Omission and prolapse of the genitals. Textbook. Moscow: 2008.
 13. Wu J.M., Matthews C.A., Conover M.M., Pate V., JonssonF.,et al. Lifetime risk of stress urinary incontinence or pelvic organ prolapse surgery. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2017; 123 (6): 1201-6.
 14. GvozdevMYu, Tupikina NV, Kasyan GR, PushkarD.Yu. Prolapstazovyykhorganov v klinicheskoiopraktikevracha-urologa. Metodicheskierekomendatsii №3. M, 2017.
 15. Pastijn A., Vasseur S., Deneft F. Prolapse and urinary incontinence in women: place of the general practitioner *Rev Med Brux.* 2018; 39 (4): 273-9.
 16. Shatkin-Margolis A., Crisp C.C., Morrison C., Pauls R.N. Predicting pain levels following vaginal reconstructive surgery: who is at highest risk? *FemalePelvicMedReconstrSurg.* 2018; 24 (2): 172-5.
 17. Fan S.X., Wang F.M., Lin L.S., Song Y.F. Re-treatments of recurrence after pelvic floor repair surgery. *ZhonghuaFuChanKeZaZhi.* 2017; 52 (6): 374-8.
 18. Handa V.L., Blomquist J.L., Roem J., Munoz A. et al. pelvic floor disorders after obstetric avulsion of the levatorani muscle // *Female Pelvic Med. Reconstr. Surg.* 2019. Vol. 25, N 1. P. 3-7.
 19. Milsom I., Gyhagen M. Breaking news in the prediction of pelvic floor disorders // *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 2018 Aug 5. doi: 10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2018.05.004.
 20. Oliveira D.A., Parente M.P.L., Calvo B., Mascarenhas T. et al. The management of episiotomy technique and its effect on pelvic floor muscles during a malposition childbirth // *Comput. MethodsBiomech. Biomed. Engin.* 2017. Vol. 20, N 11. P. 1249-1259. doi: 10.1080/10255842.2017.1349762.
 21. DeLancey J.O., Swenson C.W., Morgan D.M., George J. et al. Effect of cyctocele repair on cervix location in women with uterus in situ // *Female Pelvic Med. Reconstr. Surg.* 2017. Vol. 10. P. 1097.
 22. Akter F., Gartoulla P., Oldroyd J., Islam R.M. Prevalence of, and risk factors for, symptomatic pelvic organ prolapse in Rural Bangladesh: a cross-sectional survey study // *Int. Urogynecol. J.* 2016. Vol. 27, N 11. P. 1753-1759.
 23. Elbiss H.M., Osman N., Hammad F.T. Prevalence, risk factors and severity of symptoms of pelvic organ prolapse among Emirati women // *BMC Urol.* 2015. Vol. 15. P. 66.

Information about the authors.

Viktor E. Radzinsky, Honored Scientist of the Russian Federation, Corresponding Member of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Doctor of Medical Sciences, Professor, Head of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology with a course in Perinatology at the Medical Institute of the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia Address: 6 Miklukho-Maklaya str., Moscow,

OrazovMekanRakhimberdievich, MD, Professor, Professor of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology with a course of Perinatology at the Medical Institute of the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, obstetrician-gynecologist "Prior-Clinic" Address: 6 Miklukho-Maklaya str., Moscow, 117198.

Mikhaleva Lyudmila Mikhailovna, MD, Professor, Director of the FSBI Research Institute of Human Morphology. Address: 3 Tsyurupystr., Moscow, 117418, Russia

Krestinin Mikhail Vladimirovich, postgraduate student of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology with a course in Perinatology at the Medical Institute of the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia. Address: 6Miklukho-Maklaya str., Moscow, 117198