

A Theoretical review on future pollution free energy sources of agro and municipal solid waste compared to fossil fuels, wind and solar energy sources

Ch.Ramya, 2.Dr Ch Asha Immanuel Raju, Dr.M Tukarambai,

1 Research scholar, Andhra University, Anuramya.ch@gmail.com, India.

2 Assistant professor, Andhra University, India.

3 Assistant professor, Andhra University, India.

Abstract:

Briquetting technology is an approach to utilize biomass buildups that would some way or another go to squander, and supplant the utilization of wood and charcoal (often produced inadequately) as well as petroleum derivatives, hence reducing ozone depleting substance emissions. When Agro buildups are easily accessible and it can aid energy conservation strategies also while enhancing ranchers' salaries. This prospect of using agro and modern biomass buildups as briquettes was reviewed in this paper. The impact of biomass briquetting and its use in preceding decades was studied. Simple form of briquetting biomass methodology and the characteristics of biomass materials specified by different authors were discussed in this review. The Dominance and limitations of Agro and municipal solid squanders distinct to fossil fuels, wind and solar energy was discussed.

Keywords: Agro and municipal solid squanders, Fossil fuels, Briquetting technology

Introduction:

Energy is assuming most significant part in everyday's life. Worldwide energy utilization is expanding and we will confront a deficiency of petroleum products in the coming decades. In request to forestall an energy emergency, it is additionally vital that devour less energy by improving and modernizing energy. Life is a nonstop course of energy change and change. The achievement of civilization has to a great extent been accomplished through the inexorably productive and broad saddling of different types of energy to expand human abilities and creativity [1]. Subsequently, admittance to energy is important to support human existence and to accomplish generally speaking monetary, social and natural parts of human turn of events. It is by and large recognized that consuming of petroleum products and deforestation are significant supporters of anthropogenic environmental change. Biomass from plants can fill in as an option sustainable and carbon-unbiased crude material for the creation of energy [2]. There is shortage of energy and there is the need to hotspot for elective type of energy, which is unique in relation to convectional types.

The common habitat can't keep on giving cooking fuel to the consistently expanding populace. Another option and maintainable fuel energy source becomes basic. Extensive investigations have been completed on briquette creation utilizing wood and farming deposits such groundnut shell [3], blended sawdust of tropical wood species [4], water hyacinth-cow excrement [5], melon shells [6], rice husk [7] maize cob [8], watermelon strips [9] among others. Notwithstanding, not many investigations have been accounted for on briquettes created from mixed wood particles and agro squanders. Likewise, a straightforward minimal

expense briquette machine [10] was privately created for the preparing innovation in this review, as the expense of current handling innovation is very high and past the ability of provincial/neighborhood pioneering networks. This will improve reasonableness, appropriateness to nearby condition [11], independence, work and abundance creation, simplicity of adequacy, and reception of the briquette as an elective fuel wood.

Usage of civil squanders as a substitute fuel for fossil energy-transporters is exceptionally profitable from financial and furthermore according to environmental perspective. Fundamental natural justification for halting affidavit of the flammable squanders in dumps is the way that organic decay measure structures methane gas which is unsafe for climate. Methane as a nursery impact gas is 23-times more unsafe than carbon oxide[12]. The enthusiastic vale of non-recyclable civil squanders or defiled metropolitan squanders can be recuperated by gasification measure. Before gasification these squanders must be squashed and compacted for taking care of, transportation and capacity. Metropolitan squanders comprise of various kinds of materials, the blend is heterogeneous from synthesis and size [13]. Hence is important to deal with the metropolitan squanders prior to compacting – for example by breaking down, by drying and by homogenization [14].

Antiquity of briquetting technology

Individuals have been utilizing biomass briquettes in Nepal since before written history. However wasteful, the consuming of free biomass made sufficient warmth for cooking purposes and keeping warm. The primary business creation plant was made in 1982 and delivered right around 900 metric huge loads of biomass. In 1984, production lines were built that fused tremendous enhancements for proficiency and the nature of briquettes. They utilized a mix of rice husks and molasses. The King Mahendra Trust for Nature Conservation (KMTNC) alongside the Institute for Himalayan Conservation (IHC) made a combination of coal and biomass in 2000 utilizing a special moving machine [15]. In 1925, Japan autonomously began creating innovation to tackle the energy from sawdust briquettes, known as "Ogalite". Somewhere in the range of 1964 and 1969, Japan expanded creation fourfold by fusing screw press and cylinder press innovation. The part venture of at least 830 existed during the 1960s. The new compaction strategies joined in these machines made briquettes of better caliber than those in Europe. Therefore, European nations purchased the permitting arrangements and presently fabricate Japanese planned machines[16].

Homemade charcoal briquettes (called tadon) were found after charcoal creation in Japanese history. In the Edo time frame, polysaccharide extricated from red green growth was broadly utilized as a folio. After the imports of steam motors in the Meiji time frame, coal and dirt became significant elements of Japanese briquettes. The arrangement came from a College of Oregon scientific expert named Orin Stafford, who had imagined a strategy for making pad formed chunks of fuel from sawdust and plant squander joined with tar and bound along with cornstarch. He called the irregularities "charcoal briquettes." Portage, ever proficient, abbreviated the word to "briquette coal and earth became significant elements of Japanese briquettes. The compaction of free burnable material for fuel-production reasons for existing was a method utilized by most civic establishments previously[17]. Biomass densification, also called briquetting of agro residues has been rehearsed for a long time in a few nations.

Briquettes were found to be a significant wellspring of energy during the First and Second Universal Battles for warmth and power creation, utilizing basic advancements[18]. During this period, briquetting of sawdust and other waste materials became far and wide in numerous nations in Europe and America under the effect of fuel deficiencies. Screw expulsion briquetting innovation was imagined and created in Japan in 1945. As of April 1969, there were 638 plants in Japan[19]. The strategies utilized were close to straightforward baling or drying. Mechanical techniques for briquetting dated back to the second piece of the nineteenth century.

Purpose of briquetting and why it was important

Biomass has the surmised substance equation CHO. It is like coal with an oxygen atom added. The oxygen lessens the energy of biomass contrasted with coal, on the grounds that the carbon is "half scorched" and that energy isn't accessible. At the point when biomass is scorched, energy is acquired from the hydrogen molecule and some energy from the further consuming of CO (however this is less energy than delivered when consuming coal) and one carbon dioxide is radiated into the air. At this degree of reasoning, biomass is a more terrible fuel than coal as far as carbon dioxide discharges per unit of energy created. The explanation that biomass is a low carbon fuel is on the grounds that biomass inhales carbon dioxide (separated from the air) and stores it in its tissue. Indeed, when biomass is scorched, it emanates carbon dioxide into the environment. Notwithstanding, when it regrows, it ingests the very same measure of carbon dioxide during the time spent photosynthesis, and this cycle is carbon impartial.

An enormous amount of agrarian deposits and a significant piece of it is devoured worldwide in conventional utilizations (such as grain for dairy cattle, homegrown fuel for cooking, development material for rustic lodging, mechanical fuel for boilers, and so forth) The immediate consuming of horticultural deposits in homegrown just as mechanical applications is exceptionally wasteful. Additionally, transportation, stockpiling and taking care of issues are likewise connected with their utilization [1]. One of the methodologies that are in effect effectively sought after worldwide towards improved and proficient usage of agrarian and other biomass buildups is their densification to deliver pellets or briquettes [18]. Briquetting is the course of transformation of farming waste into consistently formed briquettes that are simple to utilize, transport and store. The briquetting of biomass further develops its dealing with attributes, builds the volumetric calorific worth, diminishes transportation expenses and makes it accessible for an assortment of utilization. The biomass briquette is a fuel comprising of biomass, like horticultural waste or waste paper, bound together and packed into little pieces roughly 5 to 15cm. Briquette-production can fill in as house industry in regions where these bio-squanders are in bounty[20].

Most wastes that are generated, find their way into land and water bodies without proper treatment, causing severe water pollution. They also emit greenhouse gases like methane and carbon dioxide, and add to air pollution. Any organic waste from urban and rural areas and industries is a resource due to its ability to get degraded, resulting in energy generation. The problems caused by solid and liquid wastes can be significantly mitigated through the adoption of environment-friendly. waste-to-energy technologies that will allow treatment and processing of wastes before their disposal. These measures would reduce the quantity of wastes, generate a substantial quantity of energy from them, and greatly

reduce environmental pollution. India's growing energy deficit is making the government central and state governments become keen on alternative and renewable energy sources.

Selection of materials and their characteristics

Selection of material:

The fuel briquettes produced from different wastes should be:

Economical, Environmentally friendly, Healthy (no smoke at all) and Reduce impact on de-forestration

Biomass deposits accessible for assembling briquettes are essentially of two classifications, crop based squander deposits and city based waste buildups. Harvest base deposits are unusable materials after the reaping of valuable yields. They incorporate grain shells, husks, straw of paddy, wheat, coconut, areca nut, and so on City strong waste incorporates wood chips, paper squanders, sawdust, and so forth Forthcoming and Akhihero [21] delivered briquettes utilizing water hyacinth and cow dung in proportions of 100:0, 90:10, 80:20 and 70:30. Of the examples arranged, the 70:30 and 80:20 water hyacinth - cowdung piece displayed higher densities, at 1157 and 1296 kg/m³ separately. Further, the creators revealed that dampness content, solidness, water opposition and sturdiness are the key factors for the assurance of nature of briquettes.

Bangboye and Bolufawi [22] concentrated on the actual qualities of Guinea corn buildup briquettes with starch mutillage as a folio. They arranged briquettes by choosing Guinea corn with a dampness content of 9.08%. They chose three molecule sizes, 4.7, 1.7 and 0.6 mm, and they utilized a water driven press with a round and hollow kick the bucket at 7.5, 8.5 and 10.5 MPa pressure. Of the three tests, briquettes with 4.7 and 0.6 mm molecule sizes showed better unwinding densities and sound qualities.

Davies and Davies [23] explored the capability of water hyacinths and phytoplankton filth, an oceanic weed, as a cover for the creation of fuel briquettes. They assessed different physical furthermore, ignition qualities. The water hyacinth toils and cover (phytoplankton rubbish) at 10% (B1), 20% (B2), 30% (B3), 40% (B4) and half (B5) by weight of every feedstock were taken care of into a steel barrel shaped bite the dust of measurements 14.3 cm tallness and 4.7 cm width, and compacted with a water powered press at a strain 20 MPa for a stay season of 45 seconds. The creators noticed that the strength of the briquettes improved with expanded folio extent. Phytoplankton filth further developed the mechanical taking care of qualities of the briquettes. The creators closed that the creation of water hyacinth briquettes is practical, modest and ecologically well disposed, and that they contend well with other agrarian items.

Jawline and Siddiqui [24] concentrated on a few biomass materials, including sawdust, rice husks, nut shells, coconut strands and palm natural product filaments, which were densified into briquettes utilizing starch and molasses as the fasteners. The sawdust briquettes were found to have better by and large dealing with attributes.

Chou et al. [25] examined the planning and portrayal of strong fuel briquettes, which were produced using rice straw and rice grain.

Olorunnisola [26] analyzed the properties of briquettes arranged from a combination of waste paper what's more, coconut husk. The structures of the crude materials utilized were in proportions of 0:100, 5:95, 15:85 and 25:75. A physically worked bite the dust cylinder press was utilized to set up the briquettes at a strain of 1.2 kN/m²

Olorunnisola [26] revealed higher toughness with a base development rating for 100% waste paper briquettes. As the coconut husk content was shifted in the briquettes, there was no perceptible change in the balance dampness content.

Prasityousil and Muenjina [27] arranged briquettes utilizing metropolitan waste treating the soil scorch and sawdust burn as the filler material at a few extents by weight with slop squander as a cover. The briquettes were arranged utilizing a barrel shaped extruder of 3.8 cm outside measurement, 1.3 cm inward width and 15 cm stature.

Shiferaw et al. [28] arranged briquettes from espresso buildups and Eucalyptus leaves. Briquettes are ready from the biochar of the strong fuel. They tried the briquettes for actual properties, like calorific worth, dampness content and debris content, which were found to have upsides of 26.7 MJ/kg, 5.33% and 35% individually. The creators reasoned that the nature of the pre-arranged briquette is better than economically accessible charcoal .

Compared to Fossil Fuels, Solar and Wind

Biomass briquettes can give a cleaner choice to coal and other petroleum derivatives for power age .Biomass sources are generally accessible and biomass briquettes don't need distinctive force age gear. Setting up divided creation offices and utilizing numerous waste sources can prompt enormous scope biomass reception. Petroleum products (coal, oil and flammable gas) have played and keep on assuming a significant part in changing the world from a farming society to the cutting edge mechanical world we live in today. Non-renewable energy sources produce power, and fills for warming and transportation. They likewise give petrochemicals utilized in modern items and plastics. Benefits of petroleum derivatives are that they have been bountiful and minimal expense, and that they give solid every minute of every day power, warming and cooling, and transportation energizes. Burdens of petroleum derivatives are that there is a restricted inventory, and there are natural issues in eliminating non-renewable energy sources from the beginning, them and consuming them in power plants or motors. Notwithstanding poisons like ozone, hydrocarbons, sulfur, mercury, smoke and debris, utilization of non-renewable energy sources radiates a lot of carbon dioxide into the air. Moreover, some petroleum derivatives are found in disagreeable nations or in hostile conditions like under the ocean. There are political, monetary and natural issues in getting these non-renewable energy sources. Petroleum derivatives discharge carbon dioxide into the climate. Coal has the inexact compound recipe CH. At the point when coal is singed, the hydrogen is changed over to water and the carbon to carbon dioxide. Energy is gotten from both the hydrogen and the carbon, and one carbon dioxide (CO₂) is discharged into the air. Oil has the surmised equation CH₂. Consuming oil sets energy free from both hydrogen iotas and from the carbon molecule,


and still one carbon dioxide is discharged. More energy is delivered per carbon dioxide discharged contrasted with coal. Gaseous petrol is primarily methane which has the specific synthetic recipe CH₄. Consuming methane lets energy out of the four hydrogen particles and from the carbon, yet just radiates one carbon dioxide. Gaseous petrol is the best petroleum derivative as far as energy yield per unit carbon dioxide transmitted. Contrastd with Sun based and Wind Sun powered and wind are two biggest wellsprings of sustainable power. The significant benefit of both sun powered and wind is that the wellspring of energy is free. Moreover activities and upkeep costs are unassuming, and the expense of the capital speculation has descended drastically. The complete expense of sun powered and wind power is for the most part taking care of the capital venture. [29]

The significant detriments of sun based and wind are that they are variable and discontinuous wellsprings of energy. The measure of power delivered differs by the season and varies moment to minute. A portion of the changeability is taken out by joining the yield of numerous sunlight based and wind ranches situated in various regions. Indeed, even with joining the yield of in excess of 5000 breeze turbines, the figure beneath shows that breeze is still very factor. Before sunlight based and wind, matrix administrators simply needed to manage variable interest. With wind and sunlight based, the sources are variable just as interest. This makes the control issues more convoluted, however are as yet reasonable. Since the framework previously had some quick responding capacity to deal with varieties popular, embedding modest quantities of variable sun oriented and wind into the matrix could be taken care of without adding new reinforcement ability or influencing the network generously. A lot of fluctuating sun powered and wind power present difficulties to matrix administrators and require interest in new reinforcement frameworks and refreshing the network. Clearly, on the off chance that one could store the power, the issues with hourly and every day changes would be wiped out. Batteries are very much produced for little gadgets like cell phones and PCs. There are numerous endeavors continuous worldwide to foster huge utility scale batteries and other power stockpiling arrangements, and a couple of choices are opening up. One of the biggest battery frameworks is on Kauai, one of the Hawaiian Islands, where there is a 13 MW sunlight based ranch and 52 MWh of battery stockpiling. The evaluated 13 MW sun oriented ranch creates on normal 25% of this which rises to 78 MWh in a day. The battery stockpiling is adequate to store sufficient energy for overnight. Battery stockpiling right now is more costly than the sun oriented ranch itself. The extremely excessive cost of power in Hawaii permits this to be a satisfactory alternative. Biomass force plants, depicted in the "Green Applications" drop-down menu, normally range from 1 to 35 MW and produce consistent, solid, base force. These force plants don't react rapidly and work most proficiently at 100% force. Biomass power plants can supplant coal which is likewise gives base power, and can supplant oil-filled force plants utilized for base power in numerous nations and far off regions and in Hawaii.

Biomass power plants can utilize direct burning with steam turbines, or utilize anaerobic processing to create biogas which energizes a responding motor. The two methodologies produce mechanical force which turns a generator to create power. One huge benefit of the anaerobic digester is that despite the fact that it produces biogas at a consistent rate, the biogas can be put away in an outer gas holder (like gaseous petrol is put away) and can be utilized as a fast reacting source to fulfill top need or give reinforcement to wind or sunlight based for a totally sustainable and low carbon power source. This

arrangement is just accessible in unobtrusive sizes up to five or ten MW. Biomass power offers a reasonable and practical option in contrast to petroleum products. Biomass power gives consistent, solid base force like petroleum derivatives. Biomass power supplements sun oriented and wind which give fluctuating power and require quick responding reinforcement ability to make up for their variances.

Methodology and Most common technique used for briquetting



Quotidian approach of briquetting technology

Biomass densification addresses a bunch of advances for the transformation of biomass into a fuel. The innovation is otherwise called briquetting and it further develops the dealing with qualities of the materials for transport, putting away and so forth [29]. This innovation can help in growing the utilization of biomass in energy creation, since densification works on the volumetric calorific worth of a fuel, lessens the expense of move and can help in working on the fuel circumstance in country regions [30]. Briquetting is one of a few agglomeration strategies which are extensively portrayed as densification advancements. Agglomeration of buildups is finished with the motivation behind making them denser for their utilization in energy creation [2]. The possibility of briquetting is to utilize materials that are not in any case usable because of an absence of thickness, compacting them into a strong fuel of a advantageous shape that can be singed like wood or charcoal [31].

Biomass briquetting is known as high compaction innovation or cover less innovation in which biomass deposits are compacted under high temperature and strain [32]. These buildups contain lignin that is a non-solidified sweet-smelling polymer with no decent dissolving point, yet at 200–300°C, lignin begins to turn into delicate, dissolved and melted. At high tension lignin will stick cellulose together and cemented and shaped briquette [33], [34], [35]. Densification of biomass under high tension achieves mechanical interlocking and expanded bond between the particles, framing intermolecular bonds in the contact region [36], [35], [19]. On account of biomass the limiting components under high tension can be isolated into attachment also, union powers, appealing powers between strong particles, and interlocking bonds [37]. Lignin of biomass/wood can likewise be accepted to help in restricting along these lines. The utilization of outside power for example, strain might expand the contact region causing the atomic powers to send sufficiently high which expands the strength of the connection between the following accomplices. Another significant restricting component is vander Waals' powers [19]. They are unmistakable at incredibly brief distances between the attachment accomplices. This kind of attachment

plausibility is a lot higher for powders. Filaments or massive particles can interlock or overlap about one another accordingly shaping interlocking or structure shut bonds.

Benefits of using biomass

Simple accessibility: Wellsprings of biomass are accessible in bounty yet not completely used. Horticultural buildup and homestead squander are produced in enormous amounts yet remain unutilized as fuel. Frequently, these are discarded through copying.

Notwithstanding rural waste, timberland waste can likewise be utilized to make biomass. City squander is another normal source accessible in huge amounts and can be utilized for creating biofuel.

Carbon unbiased and low on emanations: Being plant-based, a considerable lot of these sources are additionally carbon impartial. The fossil fuel byproducts delivered during the ignition of biofuels match the measure of carbon dioxide the plants took from the climate during their lifecycle. Biofuels additionally don't transmit the hurtful mixtures for the most part delivered by the consuming of coal and other non-renewable energy sources.

Runs on existing gear: The hardware intended for using ordinary petroleum products can be utilized for biomass as well, for certain minor adjustments or modifications, along these lines forestalling critical interest in new hardware and foundation. Briquettes are less expensive than coal. Oil, coal or lignite, once utilized, can't be supplanted. There is no sulfur in briquettes, consequently doesn't dirties the climate. Biomass briquettes have a higher down to earth warm worth. Briquettes have a lot of lower debris content (2-10% when contrasted with 20-40% in coal). Ignition is more uniform contrasted with coal Briquettes are typically delivered close to the utilization places and supplies don't rely upon inconsistent transport from significant distances. Briquettes give a lot higher evaporator effectiveness due to low dampness and higher thickness [38]

Disadvantages of depending on biomass:

- While it might seem like biomass briquettes can be a decent swap for coal for creating power, these are a few obstacles to their reception for a huge scope.
- Low energy yield: Contrasted with coal, the energy content of biomass briquettes is low. It shifts between 3200kCal/kg and 4800kCal/kg. In any case, for coal, the reach is fundamentally higher, beginning from 3000kCal/kg and going up to 8000kCal/kg for excellent anthracite coal. Divided accessibility: Despite the fact that biomass is accessible in enormous amounts, its creation or stores are dispersed.
- Irregularity: Timberland and horticultural buildup isn't produced all year, however consistently or occasionally, post-gather. This occasional accessibility makes it important to gather the buildup inside a brief timeframe and store it for use all through the time of inaccessibility.[39]

Future ambit:

Biomass briquettes are being utilized as an elective energizes for boilers in many spots. It has demonstrated as most practical, climate cordial substitute for petroleum derivatives. The size of biomass

briquetting industry has increased in ongoing years. They are as yet a most ideal alternative over different powers. They are modest contrasted with different fills and are profoundly climate well disposed. Magnificent quality biomass briquettes are provided by many plants. Whenever oversaw appropriately their continuous inventory can be guaranteed consistently. And also to limit the fossil fuel byproduct quite far while delivering briquettes by mixing with another material to save the climate from harmful Sulfur contaminations.[40]

Conclusions:

From the survey study, the accompanying ends among others can be drawn:

The elements of biomass are distinctive contrasted with other sustainable power sources. Defeating the difficulties that forestall enormous scope reception requires a non-customary methodology. As on account of traditional energy sources, economies of scale can be taken advantage of to bring down the expense of creation. Therefore, we see individual huge scope creation offices as the stores are amassed in mass and at a specific area. Nonetheless, on account of biomass, these are spread across locales and their accessibility is recurrent. Consequently, the briquettes will give better and effective harmless to the ecosystem options to different types of fuel source, help to address horticultural and wood squanders the board issues, and help in the reclamation of currently annihilated backwoods by demonstrating elective fuel wood. It could likewise improve market broadening, provincial financial strengthening, and open positions. Thus in agrarian based nations where bountiful biomass squanders are accessible, they can be successfully used through change to briquettes, so generous upgrades in the energy use are accomplished. Notwithstanding briquette planning, research gives off an impression of being justified on the burning and outflow attributes of briquette consuming for different biomass feedstocks. This can contribute to the determination of proper crude materials for briquettes, taking into account better execution and lower outflows.

References:

- 1]. Pallavi, H. V., S. Srikantaswamy, B. M. Kiran, D. R. Vyshnavi, and C. A. Ashwin. "Briquetting agricultural waste as an energy source." *Journal of Environmental Science, Computer Science and Engineering & Technology* 2, no. 1 (2013): 160-172.
- 2]. Tumuluru, Jaya Shankar, and Christopher T. Wright. A review on biomass densification technologie for energy application. No. INL/EXT-10-18420. Idaho National Laboratory (INL), 2010.
- 3]. Musa, N. A. "Comparative fuel characterization of rice husk and groundnut shell briquettes." (2007): 23-27.
- 4]. Adegoke, I. A., R. A. Baiyewu, K. S. Aina, A. S. Adesope, A. L. Adejoba, and G. B. Abah. "Combustion properties of briquette produced from mixed sawdust of tropical wood species. *Climate Change and Forest Resources Management: The Way Forward.*" In *Proceedings of the 2nd Biennial National Conference of the Forests and Forest Products Society, Akure, Nigeria*, pp. 16-18. 2010.
- 5]. Oroka, F. O., and E. Thelma. "Fuel briquettes from water hyacinth-cow dung mixture as alternative energy for domestic and agro-industrial applications." *J. Energy Technol. Policy* 3, no. 6 (2013): 56-62.

- 6]. Oladeji, J. T. "Utilization of Potential of Melon Shells for Pyrolysis as Biomass Fuels." *World Rural Obs* 4, no. 2 (2012): 60-64.
- 7]. Ogbuagu, J., T. Onuegbu, I. I. Ikelle, O. Chimezie, and C. Anyigor. "Production and analysis of the heating properties of coal and Rice husk briquettes using CaSO₄ as a binder." *J. Phys. Sci. Innov* 1 (2013): 35-44.
- 8]. Adetogun, A. C., K. M. Ogunjobi, and D. B. Are. "Combustion properties of briquettes produced from maize cob of different particle sizes." *Journal of Research in Forestry, Wildlife and Environment* 6, no. 1 (2014): 28-38.
- 9]. Rapheal, Ige Ayodeji, Elinge Cosmas Moki, Hassan Lawal Gusau, Adegoke Idowu Abimbola, and Ogala Harrison. "Effect of binder on physico-chemical properties of fuel briquettes produced from watermelon peels." *AASCIT Journal of Energy* 5, no. 2 (2018): 23-27.
- 10]. Somsuk, Nisakorn, Kavee Srithongkul, Teerapot Wessapan, and Sombat Teekasap. "Design and Fabricate a Low Cost Charcoal Briquette Machine for the Small and Micro Community Enterprises." In *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference of the Council of Deans of Architecture School Thailand (CDAST 2008)*, Phitsanulok, Thailand, pp. 23-25. 2008.
- 11]. Obi, O. F., C. O. Akubuo, and W. I. Okonkwo. "Development of an appropriate briquetting machine for use in rural communities." *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology* 2, no. 4 (2013): 578-582.
- 12]. Halmann, Martin M., and Meyer Steinberg. *Greenhouse gas carbon dioxide mitigation: science and technology*. CRC press, 1998.
- 13]. Al-Salem, S. M., Paola Lettieri, and Jan Baeyens. "Recycling and recovery routes of plastic solid waste (PSW): A review." *Waste management* 29, no. 10 (2009): 2625-2643.
- 14]. Kers, Jaan, Peter Krizan, and Martin Letko. "Mechanical recycling of compounded plastic waste for material valorization by briquetting." *Rigas Tehniskas Universitates Zinatniskie Raksti* 21 (2010): 39.
- 15]. Ramesh Man Singh. "History of Bio-Briquetting . Archived July 14, 2010, at the Wayback Machine" brgcnn.net. 2008. Web. 30 November 2010.
- 16]. "Biomass Briquetting: Technology and Practices - Introduction." Centre for Ecological Sciences INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE BANGALORE. Web. 04 Dec. 2010.
- 17]. Wilaipon, Patomsok. "The effects of briquetting pressure on banana-peel briquette and the banana waste in Northern Thailand." *American Journal of Applied Sciences* 6, no. 1 (2009): 167.
- 18]. Li, Yadong, and Henry Liu. "High-pressure densification of wood residues to form an upgraded fuel." *Biomass and Bioenergy* 19, no. 3 (2000): 177-186.
- 19]. Grover, P. D., and S. K. Mishra. *Biomass briquetting: technology and practices*. Vol. 46. Bangkok, Thailand: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 1996.
- 20]. Ilavský, Ján, and Milan Oravec. "Utilization of biomass in Slovakia." *Ecological Engineering* 16 (2000): 83-89.
- 21]. O. O. Frank, T. E. Akhiero, *Journal of Energy Technologies and Policy*, 2013, 3(6), 56-62.
- 22]. Bamgboye, Adeleke Isaac, and Senewo Joseph Bolufawi. "Physical Characteristics of Briquettes from Guinea corn (sorghum bi-color) Residue." *Agricultural Engineering International: CIGR Journal* (2009).
- 23]. Davies, R. M., and O. A. Davies. "Physical and combustion characteristics of briquettes made from water hyacinth and phytoplankton scum as binder." *Journal of combustion* 2013 (2013).

- 24].Chin, Ooi Chin, and Kamal M. Siddiqui. "Characteristics of some biomass briquettes prepared under modest die pressures." *Biomass and Bioenergy* 18, no. 3 (2000): 223-228.
- 25].Chou, Chuen-Shii, Sheau-Horng Lin, and Wen-Chung Lu. "Preparation and characterization of solid biomass fuel made from rice straw and rice bran." *Fuel processing technology* 90, no. 7-8 (2009): 980-987.
- 26]. Olorunnisola, A. "Production of Fuel Briquettes from Waste Paper and Coconut Husk Admixtures, Agricultural Engineering." *International: the CIGR Ejournal, Manuscript EE 06 006 9* (2007).
- 27].Prasityousil, Jitthep, and Akarawit Muenjina. "Properties of solid fuel briquettes produced from rejected material of municipal waste composting." *Procedia Environmental Sciences* 17 (2013): 603-610.
- 28].Shiferaw, Yoseph, Abebe Tedla, Chala Mellese, Atakilt Mengistu, Beletie Debay, Ybralem Selamawi, Efoyta Merene, and Nejat Awol. "Conversion of coffee residue waste and Eucalyptus globulus leaf extract into an alternative solid fuel." *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects* 40, no. 7 (2018): 780-786.
- 29]. "Biomass versus Fossil fuels, Solar and wind", Internet :[www. Viaspace. Combiomass_versus_alternatives.php](http://www.Viaspace.Combiomass_versus_alternatives.php). Oct.15,(2013).
- 30].Toan, Pham Khanh, Nguyen Duc Cuong, Nguyen Thi Quy, and Phi Kim Sinh. "Application of briquetting technology to produce briquettes from agricultural residues and by-products." In *World Renewable Energy Congress VI*, pp. 1416-1419. Pergamon, 2000.
- 31].Sharif, A., R. M. Mizanur, I. M. Aminul, M. Mohamad, and A. M. M. N. A. Moral. "Role of biomass briquetting in renewable energy sector and poverty diminution for Bangladesh." In *Proceedings of the 4th BSME-ASME International Conference on Thermal Engineering*. Dhaka, Bangladesh, pp. 27-29. 2008.
- 32].Adekoya, L. O. "Investigation into the briquetting of sawdust." *The Nigerian Engineer* 24, no. 3 (1989): 1-10.
- 33].Chaney, J., "Combustion Characteristics of Biomass Briquette" *Fuel Processing Technology, Progress inEnergy and Combustion Science, Vol. 30 (2), (2010), 219–230*
- 34].Bhattacharya, S. C., M. Augustus Leon, and Md Mizanur Rahman. "A study on improved biomass briquetting." *Energy for sustainable development* 6, no. 2 (2002): 67-71.
- 35].Ilavský, Ján, and Milan Oravec. "Utilization of biomass in Slovakia." *Ecological Engineering* 16 (2000): 83-89.
- 36].Moral, Md Nawsher Ali, and Md Mizanur Rahman. "Utilization of biomass for briquetting in Bangladesh." In *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Mechanical Engineering, Dhaka, Bangladesh. (2001).*
- 37]. Moral, M.N.A., and Khan, K., "Biomass Briquetting Machine (Electrical and Diesel System) Design,Construction and Operational Manual, Bangladesh Institute of Technology (BIT) Khulna, Khulna, Bangladesh"(2004).
- 38].Rahman, M.M., Khan,K., Moral, M.N.A. and Rahman, A.N.M., "Biomass Briquetting Technology and Environmental Pollution"- In *The role of Renewable and Alternative Energy Sources for National Development, Khulna University of Engineering and Technology (KUET) and Asian Institute of Technology (AIT), Khulna, Bangladesh.(2003), 24 – 30.*

- 39].Sharma, Manoj Kumar, Gohil Priyanka, and Nikita Sharma. "Biomass briquette production: a propagation of non-convention technology and future of pollution free thermal energy sources." American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER) 4, no. 02 (2015): 44-50.
- 40].Ahmed, Shaikh Ateek, Anita Kumari, and Ketan Mandavgane. "A Review on Briquettes as an Alternative Fuel." Int. J. Innov. Eng. Technol 3, no. 6 (2014).